

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/786,781	02/25/2004	Sheng-Hsin Hu	K-C 16029.1	3777
75	90 03/07/2005		EXAM	INER
Pauley Petersen & Erickson			TSOY, ELENA	
Suite 365	D 1		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2800 W. Higgin			ARTOMI	TATER NOMBER
Hoffman Estates	man Estates, IL 60195			

DATE MAILED: 03/07/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			n/				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/786,781	HU ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Elena Tsoy	1762					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ldress				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	86(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timet the mailing date of this or D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 Ja	nuary 2005.						
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.						
	,—						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	i3 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) 5 and 14-16 is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) <u>1-4,6-13 and 17-20</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ acce	epted or b) \square objected to by the $\mathfrak k$	Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		•					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
11) I he oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form P1	O-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
 Certified copies of the priority documents 	have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	• •						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior		d in this National	Stage				
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	or the certified copies not receive	α.					
Attachment/c\							
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ite					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal P	atent Application (PTC)-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/786,781 Page 2

Art Unit: 1762

Response to Amendment

Amendment filed on January 25, 2005 has been entered. Claims 1-20 are pending in the application. Claims 5, 14-16 are withdrawn from consideration as directed to a non-elected invention.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1-4, 6, 8, 9, 13, 17-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Hiltzik et al (US 20030082382).

Hiltzik et al are applied here for the same reasons as set forth in Paragraph No. 3 of the Office Action mailed on November 26, 2004. Hiltzik et al further teach that coating may be applied in an amount 7.7 wt %, as required by amendment (See P56, last line; P57). Also, Hiltzik et al teach that with a coating *greater* than about 3.5%, ORVR capacity dropped and would require a larger canister to have the same adsorptive capacity as pellets with less or no coating See P60). Hiltzik's coating of *greater* than about 3.5% covers claimed at least 5wt %, as required by amendment.

3. Claims 1, 4, 7, 9, 10, 12, 13, 17, 18, 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Karapasha (WO9112030).

Karapasha is applied here for the same reasons as set forth in Paragraph No. 3 of the Office Action mailed on November 26, 2004. Karapasha further teaches that, in general, binders are *either* **dispersible** in water (i.e. water insoluble, as required by applicant) *or* soluble (See page 15, line 1). Karapasha also teaches that, generally, 1-10, typically 5-6 wt % of coating may be used, as required by amendment (See page 15, lines 7-8).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hiltzik et al (US 20030082382) or Karapasha (WO9112030) for the reasons of record as set forth in Paragraph No. 6 of the Office Action mailed on November 26, 2004.

Response to Arguments

- 6. Applicants' arguments filed January 25, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
 - (A) Applicants argue that Hiltzik <u>teaches away</u> from claimed at least 5 wt %.

The Examiner respectfully disagrees with this argument. First of all, argument of "teaching away" is not applicable to rebut anticipation rejection. Secondly, Hiltzik does teach a

Application/Control Number: 10/786,781

Art Unit: 1762

coating <u>greater</u> than about 3.5%. Only it would require a <u>larger</u> canister to have the same adsorptive capacity as pellets with less or no coating since ORVR capacity drops (See P60).

(B) Applicants argue that Karapasha teaches water soluble binder.

However, Karapasha teaches **both** water soluble binder *and* water insoluble binder (See page 15, line 1).

Conclusion

7. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Elena Tsoy whose telephone number is (571) 272-1429. The examiner can normally be reached on Mo-Thur. 9:00-7:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Shrive Beck can be reached on (571) 272-1415. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Elena Tsoy Primary Examiner Art Unit 1762

March 2, 2005